

JOURNAL OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS 6, 232-237 (1969)

On Oscillation of Solutions of Even Order Nonlinear Differential Equations

A. G. KARTSATOS¹*Department of Mathematics, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48202*

Received April 18, 1968

In this paper we are dealing with differential equations of the form:

$$x^{(2n)} + p(t)g(x, x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(2n-1)}) = 0. \quad (*)$$

Ličko and Švec, generalizing previous results of Atkinson [1], and Belohorec [2], proved in [5] that in the case $g = g(x) = x^\alpha$, $\alpha > 1$, $\alpha = q/r$ (q, r odd positive integers relatively prime), $p \in C[t_0, +\infty)$, $p(t) > 0$, a necessary and sufficient condition for all solutions of (*) to oscillate is

$$\int_{t_0}^{+\infty} t^{2n-1}p(t) dt = +\infty. \quad (A)$$

Here we give two theorems. The first one shows that (A) is sufficient for all bounded solutions of (*) to oscillate, and the second gives a sufficient condition for all solutions of (*) to oscillate provided that $g = g(x)$.

Throughout the paper we consider only solutions of (*) which are non-trivial and valid for all large t .

1. THEOREM 1. *Consider (*) under the following assumptions:*

(i) $p : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ = (0, +\infty)$, $I = [t_0, +\infty)$, $t_0 \geq 0$, $p \in C[t_0, +\infty)$, and (A) is satisfied

(ii) $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty)$, $x_1 g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n}) > 0$ for $x_1 \neq 0$, and continuous on \mathbb{R}^n ;

then, under the above conditions, every bounded solution of () is oscillatory.*

Proof. The proof is by contradiction. Suppose that the conclusion is not true. Then there exists a bounded solution $x(t)$ of (*) which is non-

¹ This research was partially supported by a N.A.T.O. grant.

oscillatory on I . We may (and do) assume that $x(t)$ is defined and positive on I . In this case, Eq. (*) gives

$$x^{(2n)} = -p(t)g(x(t), x^{(1)}(t), \dots, x^{(2n-1)}(t)) < 0 \quad (1)$$

for every $t \in I$. Thus, $x^{(2n-1)}(t)$ is decreasing on I . Now, $x^{(2n-1)}(t)$ must be positive for large t . In fact, if $x^{(2n-1)}(t)$ was eventually negative on I , then this would imply that $x(t)$ is eventually negative on I , a contradiction. Proceeding in the same way, we can show that $(-1)^m x^{(2n-m)}(t) < 0$ for every $m = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-1$ and for sufficiently large t . Let t_1 be such that the previous inequality holds for every $t \geq t_1$, and consider the function

$$F(t) = t^{2n-1}x^{(2n-1)}(t), \quad t \in [t_1, +\infty). \quad (2)$$

By differentiation of F we obtain

$$F'(t) = -t^{2n-1}p(t)g(x(t), x^{(1)}(t), \dots, x^{(2n-1)}(t)) + (2n-1)t^{2(n-1)}x^{(2n-1)}(t). \quad (3)$$

Now, it is easy to show that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g(x(t), x^{(1)}(t), \dots, x^{(2n-1)}(t))$ exists and is finite and positive. In fact, this is an immediate consequence of the continuity of g , the monotonicity of the derivatives of $x(t)$ up to the order $2n-1$, and the fact that none of these derivatives can tend to a nonzero limit, for $x(t)$ is bounded on I . So, if $\epsilon > 0$ is such that $\epsilon < g(a_0, 0, \dots, 0) = A$, where $a_0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t)$, then there exists a $t_2 \geq t_1$ with the property

$$A - \epsilon < g(x(t), x^{(1)}(t), \dots, x^{(2n-1)}(t)) < A + \epsilon \quad (4)$$

for every $t \geq t_2$.

Thus, by use of (4) and integration of (3) from t_2 to $t \geq t_2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) - F(t_2) &\leq -(A - \epsilon) \int_{t_2}^t s^{2n-1}p(s) ds \\ &\quad + (2n-1) \int_{t_2}^t s^{2(n-1)}x^{(2n-1)}(s) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

which, since F is positive, implies that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t_2}^t s^{2(n-1)}x^{(2n-1)}(s) ds = +\infty. \quad (6)$$

On the other hand, computing the above integral we find

$$\int_{t_2}^t s^{2(n-1)}x^{(2n-1)}(s) ds = \left[s^{2(n-1)}x^{(2n-2)}(s) \right]_{t_2}^t - 2(n-1) \int_{t_2}^t s^{2n-3}x^{(2n-2)}(s) ds, \quad (7)$$

from which, by taking into account (6), we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t_2}^t s^{2n-3} x^{(2n-2)}(s) ds = -\infty, \quad (8)$$

and similarly by induction

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_2}^{+\infty} t^{2n-(m+1)} x^{(2n-m)}(t) dt &= +\infty & \text{if } m = 1, 3, \dots, 2n-1 \\ \int_{t_2}^{+\infty} t^{2n-(m+1)} x^{(2n-m)}(t) dt &= -\infty & \text{if } m = 2, 4, \dots, 2(n-1) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Now, the second of (9) for $m = 2(n-1)$ gives

$$\int_{t_2}^{+\infty} t x''(t) dt = -\infty, \quad (10)$$

or

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} [t x'(t) - t_2 x'(t_2) + x(t_2) - x(t)] = -\infty \quad (11)$$

a contradiction, because $t x'(t) > 0$, and $x(t)$ is bounded for large t . Thus, every bounded solution of (*) is oscillatory.

Remark. For $n = 1$ and $g = g(x, x')$, Theorem 1 coincides with Theorem 2 in [4].

2. THEOREM 2. Suppose that in (*) we have $n > 1$, and moreover:

- (i) p as in Theorem 1;
- (ii) $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g \in C'(-\infty, +\infty)$, $xg(x) > 0$ for $x \neq 0$, $g'(x) \geq 0$ for $|x| \in [K, +\infty)$ (K is some positive constant), and

$$\int_{\epsilon}^{+\infty} u^{1/2} du / g(u) < +\infty, \quad \int_{-\epsilon}^{-\infty} |u|^{1/2} du / g(u) < +\infty$$

for every $\epsilon > 0$; then every solution of (*) is oscillatory.

Proof. Suppose that $x(t)$ is a solution of (*) defined on I . Then, if $x(t)$ is bounded on I , Theorem 1 implies that it must be oscillatory. Now assume that $x(t)$ is unbounded and positive for all large t ; then there exists a $t_0^* \geq t_0$ such that $x(t) \geq K$ for every $t \in [t_0^*, +\infty)$. Here, following Mikusinski ([6], pp. 38-39), it suffices to distinguish two cases:

Case 1. There exists a $t_1 > t_0^*$ such that

$$(-1)^m x^{(2n-m)}(t) < 0 \quad \text{for every } m = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-1 \quad (12)$$

and every $t \geq t_1$. By differentiation of the function

$$F_1(t) = t^{2n-1} x^{(2n-1)}(t) / g(x(t)), \quad (13)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_1'(t) &= -t^{2n-1} p(t) + (2n-1) t^{2(n-1)} x^{(2n-1)}(t) / g(x(t)) \\ &\quad - t^{2n-1} x^{(2n-1)}(t) x'(t) g'(x(t)) / g(x(t))^2 \\ &\leq -t^{2n-1} p(t) + (2n-1) t^{2(n-1)} x^{(2n-1)}(t) / g(x(t)) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where g' means differentiation with respect to x . From (14), by integration from t_1 to $t \geq t_1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(t) - F_1(t_1) - (2n-1) \int_{t_1}^t s^{2(n-1)} x^{(2n-1)}(s) ds / g(x(s)) \\ \leq - \int_{t_1}^t s^{2n-1} p(s) ds \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

which implies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t_1}^t s^{2(n-1)} x^{(2n-1)}(s) ds / g(x(s)) = +\infty. \quad (16)$$

Now, since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^t s^{2(n-1)} x^{(2n-1)}(s) ds / g(x(s)) &= \left[s^{2(n-1)} x^{(2n-2)}(s) / g(x(s)) \right]_{t_1}^t \\ &\quad - 2(n-1) \int_{t_1}^t s^{2n-3} x^{(2n-2)}(s) ds / g(x(s)) \\ &\quad + \int_{t_1}^t s^{2(n-1)} x^{(2n-2)}(s) g'(x(s)) x'(s) ds / g^2(x(s)), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Eq. (16) yields

$$\int_{t_1}^{+\infty} t^{2n-3} x^{(2n-2)}(t) dt / g(x(t)) = -\infty. \quad (18)$$

Similarly by induction,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^{+\infty} t^{2n-(m+1)} x^{(2n-m)}(t) dt / g(x(t)) &= +\infty, \quad \text{for } m = 1, 3, \dots, 2n-1 \\ \int_{t_1}^{+\infty} t^{2n-(m+1)} x^{(2n-m)}(t) dt / g(x(t)) &= -\infty, \quad \text{for } m = 2, 4, \dots, 2(n-1). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

For $m = 2n - 1$, the first of (19) gives

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t_1}^{\tau} x'(t) dt/g(x(t)) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{x(t_1)}^{x(\tau)} du/g(u) = +\infty, \quad (20)$$

which, by hypothesis (ii) is impossible.

Case 2. For some index $2n - 2i$ ($2n - 2i \geq 2$), there exists a $t_1 > t_0^*$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(2n-2i)}(t) &> 0, & (-1)^m x^{(2n-m)}(t) &< 0, & \text{for } m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2i-1, \\ x(t) &\geq \mu t^{2n-2i}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

for every $t \geq t_1$ and some $\mu > 0$. Then from (19) (which still holds for $m = 2i - 1$) we obtain

$$\int_{t_1}^{+\infty} t^{2n-2i} x^{(2n-2i+1)}(t) dt/g(x(t)) \leq \lambda \int_{t_1}^{+\infty} t^{2n-2i} dt/g(\mu t^{2n-2i}) = +\infty, \quad (22)$$

where λ is an upper bound for $x^{(2n-2i+1)}(t)$ which is decreasing for $t \geq t_1$.

Thus, since (22) is impossible (as it can be easily seen by putting $u = t^{2n-2i}$ and use of (ii)), the theorem is true.

Note added in proof. The integral conditions on g (in Th. 2) can be replaced by the following weaker ones:

$$\int_{\epsilon}^{+\infty} du/g(u) < +\infty, \quad \int_{-\epsilon}^{-\infty} du/g(u) < +\infty.$$

In fact, from the Taylor series expansion of $x'(t)$ (Case 2) we easily obtain $t^{2n-2i} x^{(2n-2i+1)}(t) \leq M x'(t)$ (M some positive constant) for all sufficiently large t , and this combined with (22) gives us the desired contradiction:

$$\int_{t_2}^{+\infty} t^{2n-2i} x^{(2n-2i+1)}(t) dt/g(x(t)) \leq M \int_{x(t_2)}^{+\infty} du/g(u) = +\infty.$$

The author wishes to express his thanks to the referee for his helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. ATKINSON, F. V., On second order non-linear oscillations, *Pacific J. Math.* **5** (1955), Suppl. 643-647.
2. BELOHOREC, S., Osillatoricke riešenia istej nelineárnej rovnice druhého rádu, *Matem.-fyz. cas. SAV* **11** (1961).
3. HOWARD, H. C., Oscillation criteria for even order differential equations, *Ann. Mat. pura appl.* **66** (1964), 221-231.

4. KARTSATOS, A. G., Properties of bounded solutions of nonlinear equations of second order, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **19** (1968), 1057–1059.
5. LIČKO, I., ŠVEC, M., Le caractère oscillatoire des solutions de l'équation $y^{(n)} + f(t)y^\alpha = 0$, $n > 1$, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **13** (88) (1963), 481–491.
6. MIKUSINSKI, J. K., On Fite's oscillation theorems, *Colloquium Math.* **2** (1949), 34–39.
7. PINTER, L., Oszillationssätze für einen Typ von nichtlinearen Differentialgleichungen zweiter Ordnung, *Magyar Tud. Akad. Kutató Int. Köz. l.* (1961), 34–39.
8. WONG, J. S., Some properties of solutions of $u'' + a(t)f(u)g(u') = 0$, III, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **14** (1966), 209–414.